ORIGINAL

QUESTION 1

M.G.L. c. 151B § 4 Age Discrimination – State UMass Memorial

1(a).	Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that plaintiff Dr. Charu Desai was qualified for her position at the time of her termination, she was at least 40 years old at the time of her termination, and that she was replaced?			
	Yes N	0		
•	ered Question 1(a) "Yes," answer or ered Question 1(a) "No," proceed to			
1(b).	Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that the reason given by defendant UMass Memorial for plaintiff Dr. Charu Desai's dismissal was pretextual?			
	Yes N	o		
	ered Question 1(b) "Yes," answer or ered Question 1(b) "No," proceed to			
1(c).	Do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that age was the "but for" cause of plaintiff Dr. Charu Desai's dismissal?			
	Yes N	0		

Proceed to Question 2.

QUESTION 2

M.G.L. c. 151B § 4 Age Discrimination – State Dr. Rosen

2(a).	Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that plaintiff Dr. Charu Desai wa qualified for her position at the time of her termination, she was at least 40 year old at the time of her termination, and that she was replaced?
	Yes No
•	wered Question 2(a) "Yes," answer Question 2(b). wered Question 2(a) "No," proceed to Question 3.
2(b).	Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that the reason given by defendant Dr. Rosen for plaintiff Dr. Charu Desai's dismissal was pretextual?
	Yes No
•	wered Question 2(b) "Yes," answer Question 2(c). wered Question 2(b) "No," proceed to Question 3.
2(c).	Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that age was the "but for" cause of plaintiff Dr. Charu Desai's dismissal?
	Yes No
2(d).	Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that defendant Dr. Rosen aided and abetted in the discriminatory termination of plaintiff Dr. Desai?
Yes	No
Proceed to	Question 3.

QUESTION 3

ADEA 29 U.S.C. § 623 Age Discrimination – Federal UMASS Memorial

3(a).	qualified for her position at the time of her termination, she was at least 40 years old at the time of her termination, and that she was replaced?					
	Yes	No				
		Yes," answer Question 3(b). 'No," proceed to Question 4.				
3(b).	•	preponderance of the evidence that the l for plaintiff Dr. Charu Desai's dismiss	-			
	Yes	No				
		'Yes," answer Question 3(c). 'No," proceed to Question 4.				
3(c).		preponderance of the evidence that age ru Desai's dismissal?	was the "but for" cause of			
	Yes	No				
		Yes," answer Question 3(d). 'No," proceed to Question 4.				
3(d).		Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that defendant UMass Memorial willfully committed age discrimination in dismissing plaintiff Dr. Desai?				
Yes	N	0				
Proceed to	Ouestion 4.					

QUESTION 4

Tortious Interference with Contractual Relations Dr. Rosen

4(a).	Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Dr. Max Rosen, knowingly and with actual malice, induced a breaking of Dr. Desai's contractual relationshi with UMass Memorial?			
	Yes No			
•	swered Question 4(a) "Yes," answer Question 4(b). swered Question 4(a) "No," proceed to damages.			
4(b).	Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that those actions were the "bu for" cause of harm?			
	Yes No			
Proceed to	o damages.			

Compensatory Damages - Lost Wages

12/16/22; 16:00 AM

Case 4:19-cv-10520-TSH	Docume	nt 185	Filed	12/16/22	Page 6 of 7
 THE	D	RY)	HAS	>
 · 1 - 6		,			
 REACHED	A	VE	KI) T C	1
12/16/22					

Case 4:19-cv-10520-TSH Document 185 Filed 12/16/22 Page 7 of 7 Flemen+ 1, is the text "minimally gualified for her position..." as stated on the question document? Or is the language "meeting employer expectations..." as stated on Page 16 what should be understood? 12/15/22